

UNITED STATEL DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE SYMB0671 08/183,069 01/18/94 TYMES EXAMINER CAIN, D 22M1/0610 ARNOLD, WHITE & DURKEE PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT P.O. BOX 4493 HOUSTON, TX 77210 2202 DATE MAILED: 06/10/94 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

X) T	his a	oplication has been examined Responsive to communication filed on This action is made final.	
		d statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	•
Part I		THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:	
1. 3. 5.		Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. 2. Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948. 4. Notice of informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152. 6.	
Part I	ı	SUMMARY OF ACTION	
1.	×	Cialms 25 - 3 6 are pending in the application	on.
		Of the above, claims are withdrawn from consideratio	n.
2.		Claims have been cancelled.	
3.		Claims are allowed.	
4.	×	ClaimsZ5 - 3 C are rejected.	
5.		Claims are objected to.	
6.		Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement.	
7.	Ż	This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.	
8.		Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.	
9.	. 🗆	The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are acceptable not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948).	
.10.		The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on has (have) been approved by the examiner disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).	
11.		The proposed drawing correction, filed on, has been \square approved. \square disapproved (see explanation).	
12.		Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has · 🔲 been received 🔲 not been received	l
		been filed in parent application, serial no; filed on;	<u> </u>
13.		Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	

14. Other

Serial Number: 08/183,069

Art Unit: 2202

- This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable 1. for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.
- Claims 25-36 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-2. type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-84 of U.S. Patent No. 5,029,183. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the the scope of the present claims include wholly the subject matter of the patented claims.
- The obviousness-type double patenting rejection is a judicially established 3. doctrine based upon public policy and is primarily intended to prevent prolongation of the patent term by prohibiting claims in a second patent not patentably distinct from claims in a first patent. In re Vogel, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970). A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.321(b) would overcome an actual or provisional rejection on this ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.78(d).
- Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to David Cain 4. at telephone number (703) 308-0463.

June 7, 1994

David Cain